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The panel made the following suggestions for further work, in order of priority:

Analysis related

a) Super-analysis: (i) select the set which leads to the highest catch rate among all possible sets (no hierarchy), and (ii) do not cap the catch by the actual catch for a set.

b) Check if ‘day’ can be replaced by ‘3-day window’. If the results change to a notable extent:

c) Replace step 7a by selecting the highest catch rate.

d) Replace step 7b by not constraining the catch to the actual catch for a set.

Documentation / understanding

a) Indicate how many sets are replaced by zeros and how many by lower catches *by year*.

b) List the number of sets that need to be moved *by year*.

Response to panel request viewed as overnight requirement: Analysis related (a) has been carried out (Fig. 1a in the table below). Analysis related (b)-(d) was not carried out because this is a larger task than could be accomplished overnight in the context of existing OBM code. Documentation / understanding (a), (b) has been carried out for the ALL opportunity option and the ADJ, ADJ2 and Other Island opportunity option (see Figs 3 and 4a,b).

A number of other runs were carried out as seemed logical in the context of the day’s discussions, as presented below (for all years):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fig #</th>
<th>7a (max of all opportunities or randomly selected)</th>
<th>7b (capped at actual set, or no cap or boat cap or boat x year cap)</th>
<th>Hierarchy (in groups or all lumped together)</th>
<th>Alternative opportunities. ALL = ADJ, ADJ2, OTHER, GANSBAAI, ST HELENA BAY, EXTRA</th>
<th>Set selection</th>
<th>% Lost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B.C.</td>
<td>RANDOM</td>
<td>CAPPED</td>
<td>IN GROUPS</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>40.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>NO CAP</td>
<td>LUMPED</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>-26.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>CAPPED</td>
<td>IN GROUPS</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>41.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>RANDOM</td>
<td>NO CAP</td>
<td>IN GROUPS</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>23.68%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### OBSERVATIONS

1. Scale of effects depends on other settings so the following are likely to be context specific.
2. Effect of extra opportunities in context of MAX, NO CAP, LUMPED: Compare 1a, 1b, 1a'' - suggests Extra, Gansbaai and St Helena Bay add about 30%, and that St Helena Bay on its own adds about 15%.
3. Effect of capping: 2b versus B.C. Removing capping adds about 17%.
4. Effect of lumping opportunities in the context of choosing maximum alternative opportunity: 2d versus 2a adds about 14%.
5. Effect of no cap compared to a pragmatic **boat specific cap** of maximum catch over all years: 1a'' versus 1a: adds about 15%.
6. Effect of no cap compared to a pragmatic **boat x year specific cap** of maximum catch in each year per boat: 1a'' versus 1a: adds about 15%.
7. Maximum versus random alternative opportunity selection in the context of lumped opportunities: 2d vs 2c adds about 17%.
8. 2b versus 2b': 6% additional unreplaceable catch Saldanha Bay factory effect >= 2008 years. Also however confused with other island possibly closed.
**Fig 1c**

Net Closure Loss vs. Closure Sum (Anchovy, West Coast)  
\[ y = 0.1206x \]

**Fig 1c’**

Net Closure Loss vs. Closure Sum (Anchovy, West Coast)  
\[ y = 0.1217x \]

**Fig 2a**

Net Closure Loss vs. Closure Sum (Anchovy, West Coast)  
\[ y = 0.4152x \]
Fig 3. Number of sets needing to be replaced each year for Dassen Island and Robben Island added together.

Fig 4a. Orange bars indicate instances of no alternative opportunities.
Fig 4b. Orange bars indicate instances of no alternative opportunities.